**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PLAINTIFF A, and  PLAINTIFF B,  Plaintiffs,  v.  DEFENDANT C, and  DEFENDANT D,  Defendants. | Case No. XX-cv-XXXX  Judge John F. Kness |

**SCHEDULING ORDER UNDER RULE 16(b)**

The Court has reviewed the parties’ **[[Joint Initial Status Report]]**, which contains a proposed discovery plan as required by Rule 26(f)(2). Based on the discovery plan proposed by the parties, the Court hereby sets the following scheduling order under Rule 16(b). The Court will modify this schedule “only for good cause.” *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).

The parties are reminded that the pendency of a dispositive motion, such as a motion to dismiss, does not automatically cause discovery to be stayed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Event** | **Deadline** |
| Motions to Amend the Pleadings |  |
| Service of process on any “John Does” | [*60 days after the beginning of fact discovery*] |
| Completion of Fact Discovery |  |
| Disclosure of Plaintiff’s Expert Report(s) | [*Insert date one month after close of fact discovery*] |
| Deposition of Plaintiff’s Expert |  |
| Disclosure of Defendant’s Expert Report(s) |  |
| Deposition of Defendant’s Expert |  |
| Dispositive Motions | [*Insert date one month after the close of expert discovery*] |

[*Add any other proposals that the parties included in their discovery plan in their Joint Initial Status Report, as required by Rule 26(f)(3).*]

SO ORDERED.

Date: **[[xx xx, 20xx]]**

JOHN F. KNESS

United States District Judge